2022-2023 District Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: B

District Name

Address

District ID

Schulenburg Independent School District

521 Shorthorn Drive Schulenburg, Texas 78956

075903

Superintendent

Date of School Board Approval

Duane Limbaugh



2022-23 District Educational Improvement Committee Members

Name	Position	Committee Role
Troy Brenek	Community	
Walter Brock	Athletic Director	
Kristi McBride	Interim Elementary Principal	
Susan Guzman	Parent	
Amy Hartman	High School Teacher	
Roque Thompson	High School Principal	
Sharon Jochen	Junior High Teacher	
Cindy Kalina	District Nurse	
Duane Limbaugh	Superintendent	
Tonia Livingston	Business/Community	
Jamie Lusk	High School Teacher	
Rachel Robertson	Sped. Director	
Kim Olsovsky	Business/Parent	
Heather Pavlu	Counselor	
Brittany Pekar	Elementary Teacher	
Cheryl Pekar	Community	
Susie Shank	Parent/Community Organization	
Mercy Silcox	High School Teacher	
Sharon Johnson	Counselor	
Phyllis Venghaus	Community Organization	
Tami Walker	Community	
Callene Zapalac	Junior High Principal	
Melissa Zapalac	Junior High Teacher	

Table of Contents

2022-23 District Educational Improvement Committee Members	2
Mission Statement	4
Vision	4
Core Beliefs	4
State Goals and Objectives	5
The State of Texas Public Education Mission and Academic Goals	5
The State of Texas Public Education Goals	5
The State of Texas Public Education Objectives	5
TEA Commissioner's Strategic Priorities:	6
Federal, State and Local Funding Sources	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Summary	8
Student Performance Data	11
Enrollment	11
Attendance and Annual Dropout Rate	12
Annual and Total Graduates	14
Reading	15
Writing	23
English I	25
English II	26
Mathematics	28
Algebra I	36
Science	37
Biology	40
Social Studies	41
U.S History	44
Goals and Strategies	46
Summary of Expenditures in this Plan	66
Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source	66
Total Expenditures by Object Type	67
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source	68

Mission Statement

The mission of Schulenburg ISD is to create Shorthorns Forever – Educating and empowering our students for success.

Vision

Core Beliefs

4 of 68

State Goals and Objectives

The State of Texas Public Education Mission and Academic Goals

The mission of the public education system of this state is to ensure that all Texas children have access to a quality education that enables them to achieve their potential and fully participate now and in the future in the social, economic, and educational opportunities of our state and nation. That mission is grounded on the conviction that a general diffusion of knowledge is essential for the welfare of this state and for the preservation of the liberties and rights of citizens. It is further grounded on the conviction that a successful public education system is directly related to a strong, dedicated, and supportive family and that parental involvement in the school is essential for the maximum educational achievement of a child.

The State of Texas Public Education Goals

- **GOAL #1:** The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the reading and writing of the English language.
- **GOAL #2:** The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of mathematics.

GOAL #3: The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of science.

GOAL #4: The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of social studies.

The State of Texas Public Education Objectives

- **Objective #1:** Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.
- **Objective #2:** Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.
- **Objective #3:** Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.
- **Objective #4:** A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students. Through that curriculum, students will be prepared to succeed in a variety of postsecondary activities, including employment and enrollment in institutions of higher education.

Objective #5: Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

- **Objective #6:** Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.
- **Objective #7:** The state's students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.
- **Objective #8:** School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.
- **Objective #9:** Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.
- **Objective #10:** Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.
- **Objective #11:** The State Board of Education, the agency, and the commissioner shall assist school districts and charter schools in providing career and technology education to students.

TEA Strategic Plan

By the year 2030, projections indicate that most jobs will require some training beyond high school. This could be a traditional 4-year degree, a 2-year associate's, or an industry credential. But today, too few Texas students are obtaining postsecondary credentials. Without bold action, Texas faces a future of diminished incomes, opportunities and resources. Initiated by the Higher Education Coordinating Board, the 60x30TX Plan is designed to ensure that a competitive and prosperous future remains for students seeking to better their lives and the lives of their families. The state has adopted 60x30TX as our collective goal.

In an effort to support far more rapid improvements in student outcomes to meet the goals of 60x30TX, the Texas Education Agency has adopted a strategic plan. The plan includes four strategic priorities and built on three supporting actions to guide our work on behalf of the more than five million school children in our state.

	Every child, prepared for success	in college, a career or the military.	
	TEA Commissioner's	s Strategic Priorities:	
1	2	3	4
Recruit, support, retain teachers & principals	Build a foundation of reading and math	Connect high school to career and college	Improve low-performing schools
	Enal	blers	
Increase transp	arency, fairness and rigor in distric	t and campus academic and financia	al performances
Ensu	are compliance , effectively implem	ent legislation and inform policyma	ikers
Strengthen o	organizational foundations (resour	ce efficiency, culture, capabilities, p	partnerships)
5			

Federal, State and Local Funding Sources

Federal funding sources will be integrated and coordinated with State and Local funds to meet the needs of all students.

This schoolwide program will consolidate funds in the following way: <u>Title I, Part A only</u> [ESSA Sec. 1114(b)(7)(B)]

Federal Programs	Total Expenditures
Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$	
State or Local Programs	Total Expenditures
State or Local Programs Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$	Total Expenditures

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Summary

Needs Assessment Overview

Demographics

Overall Summary

The staff at Schulenburg ISD include 62 teachers, 10 paraprofessionals, and 6 administrators. The student population is 40.25% White, 14.11% African American, 41.36% Hispanic, 0.69% Asian, and 0.41% Native American.

Summary of Strengths What were the identified strengths?

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?

Need to identify current strategies and services provided to particular sub-populations, such as economically disadvantaged and special education students, as as what additional support is needed for each group.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Provide professional development and staff on how to best utilize the programs in place to assist students.

Student Achievement

Overall Summary

Achievement gains were made in specific areas, however we still need to focus on closing more of the gaps in some of our subpopulations.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

Some demographics continue to show growth and are closing the gaps.

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

Monitor and work with low socioeconomic status and African American sub-population students for closing the gaps. During COVID-19 school closures, SISD implemented remote learning district-wide. Students and teachers continue to need support and access to high-quality technology and internet/wifi resources to sustain academic performance and achieve performance goals on STAAR and EOC assessments.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Monitor student achievement, by using collected data, to work on closing the gaps, while also ensuring our teachers have the training needed to assist students.

District Processes & Programs

Overall Summary

Schulenburg ISD utilizes the TEKS Resource System and Eduphoria as the primary curriculum and data assessment tool to monitor student progress/achievement.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

The use of Eduphoria to collect data, primarily at the Elementary campus, allows teachers and administrators the ability to quickly review the assessments, over the school year, and determine where a student is struggling and needs additional assistance/re-teach.

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

Training and utilization of the TEKS Resource System and TExGuide.

Work towards an aligned curriculum district-wide.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Individualized instruction based on assessment data.

Expansion of interventions.

Perceptions

Overall Summary

Schulenburg ISD offers a variety of opportunities for students to participate in throughout their schooling (UIL, Sports, Dual Credit, FFA, Student Council, etc.) to prepare them for their post-secondary experiences.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

The extracurricular activities at SHS are appreciated by parents and the community (FFA, Athletics and UIL are just a few).

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

Better parent/guardian communication (especially for new students)

Ensure the communication is in various forms, as some do not have access to the Internet (ex., the Elementary sends notes/WAG home every week)

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Better parent/guardian communication, in multiple forms, so as many parents/guardians can be reached to ensure participation in their child's education.

Additional Information

Student Performance Data

Enrollment

	Enrollment by Grade Le	vel			
Grade Level	2019-20	202	20-21	20	21-22
Early Education	%	4	0.60%	3	0.40%
Pre-Kindergarten	%	22	3.20%	37	5.30%
Kindergarten	%	40	5.70%	34	4.90%
Grade 1	%	44	6.30%	38	5.40%
Grade 2	%	44	6.30%	43	6.10%
Grade 3	%	47	6.80%	42	6.00%
Grade 4	%	46	6.60%	54	7.70%
Grade 5	%	51	7.30%	42	6.00%
Grade 6	%	64	9.20%	49	7.00%
Grade 7	%	51	7.30%	62	8.90%
Grade 8	%	62	8.90%	55	7.90%
Grade 9	%	53	7.60%	70	10.00%
Grade 10	%	61	8.80%	50	7.10%
Grade 11	%	60	8.60%	61	8.70%
Grade 12	%	47	6.80%	60	8.60%

Enro	llment by Ethnicity and Su	Ibgroups			
Ethnicity	2019-20 202		20-21	2021-22	
All Students	100%	696	100%	700	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	%	3	0.40%	1	0.10%
Asian	%	9	1.30%	6	0.90%
Black or African American	%	98	14.10%	109	15.60%
Hispanic/Latino	%	278	39.90%	279	39.90%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	%	0	0.00%		%
Two or More Races	%	22	3.20%	18	2.60%
White	%	286	41.10%	287	41.00%
Economically Disadvantaged	%	92	24.00%	428	61.10%
At-Risk	%	229	32.90%	251	35.90%
Special Education	%	58	8.30%	56	8.00%

Attendance and Annual Dropout Rate

	Attendance Rate		_
Student Group	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
All Students		99.2	96.6
Male		99.1	96.2
Black or African American		98.9	95.8
White		99.5	97.5
Two or More Races		99.4	93.3
English Language Learner		99.7	96.6

	Annual Dropout Rate									
Student Group	Grade Level	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21						
	9-12		0.4	0.4						
	9-12		0.9	0.9						
	9-12		2.9							
	9-12		0	1						
	9-12		0.9							
	9-12		2.9	2.9						

Annual and Total Graduates

	Annual Graduates				
Subgroup	2018-19	20:	2019-20		20-21
All Students	100%	56	100%	47	100%
African American	%	8	14.30%	4	8.50%
Asian	%	0	0.00%		%
Hispanic	%	14	25.00%	20	42.60%
Two or More	%	1	1.80%		%
American Indian	%	0	0.00%		%
Pacific Islander	%	0	0.00%		%
White	%	33	58.90%	23	48.90%
Economically Disadvantaged	%	19	33.90%	22	46.80%
At-Risk	%	10	17.90%	7	14.90%
English Language Learner	%	0	0.00%		%
Special Education	%	7	12.50%	6	12.80%

	Total Gr	aduates (All Stu	dents)			
Graduate Type	18-19		19-20		20-	21
Recommended High School Program/ Distinguished Achievement Program		%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Foundation High School Program (Distinguished Levels of Achievement)		%	55	98.20%	55	98.20%
Foundation High School Program (Endorsement)		%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Foundation High School Program (No Endorsement)		%	1	1.80%	1	1.80%
Minimum High School Program		%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Student Performance Data

Reading

				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	ot Meet %	Appro	oaches %	M	eets %	Mas #	ters %
	3	51	1398	13	25	38	75	12	24	5	10
All Students	4	61	1498	25	41	36	59	24	39	13	21
7 in Students	5	50	1522	18	36	32	64	17	34	9	18
	6	59	1565	21	36	38	64	17	29	6	10
	7	43	1614	17	40	26	60	17	40	9	21
	8	52	1657	17	33	35	67	22	42	13	25
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average		ading STAAR		paches	M	eets	Mas	ters
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score				oaches %	M	eets %	Mas #	ters %
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro					
			Scale Score	Did No #	ot Meet %	Appro #	%	#	%	#	%
Student Group	3	43	Scale Score 1437	Did No # 10	ot Meet % 23	Appro # 33	% 77	#	% 40	# 9	% 21
	3	43 43	Scale Score 1437 1474	Did No # 10 16	ot Meet % 23 37	Appro # 33 27	% 77 63	# 17 13	% 40 30	# 9 8	% 21 19
	3 4 5	43 43 49	Scale Score 1437 1474 1514	Did No # 10 16 19	ot Meet % 23 37 39	Appro # 33 27 30	% 77 63 61	# 17 13 17	% 40 30 35	# 9 8 8	% 21 19 16
	3 4 5 6	43 43 49 62	Scale Score 1437 1474 1514 1547	Did No # 10 16 19 28	ot Meet % 23 37 39 45	Appro # 33 27 30 34	% 77 63 61 55	# 17 13 17 14	% 40 30 35 23	# 9 8 8 8	% 21 19 16 13
	3 4 5 6 7	43 43 49 62 51	Scale Score 1437 1474 1514 1547 1620	Did No # 10 16 19 28 20	nt Meet % 23 37 39 45 39	Appro # 33 27 30 34 31	% 77 63 61 55 61	# 17 13 17 14 19	% 40 30 35 23 37	# 9 8 8 8 8 10	% 21 19 16 13 20

	6	48	1517	23	48	25	52	12	25	3	6
	7	60	1688	8	13	52	87	31	52	15	25
	8	52	1704	8	15	44	85	26	50	17	33
	0	52	1704	1			05	20	50	17	
				2018-19 Kea	ding STAAR	results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets	Mas	
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	3	23	1391	6	26	17	74	6	26	3	13
Hispanic/ Latino	4	33	1459	18	55	15	45	10	30	5	15
Lutino	5	17	1479	8	47	9	53	3	18	1	6
	6	20	1569	8	40	12	60	7	35	2	10
	7	18	1589	9	50	9	50	6	33	2	11
	8	25	1682	5	20	20	80	13	52	5	20
				2020-21 Rea	ding STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	nt Meet %	Appro	oaches %		eets %	Mas #	ters %
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale								%
Hispanic/			Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Hispanic/ Latino	3	18	Scale Score 1432	#	% 22	#	% 78	#	% 39	#	% 11 4
	3	18 23	Scale Score 1432 1419	# 4 13	% 22 57	# 14 10	% 78 43	# 7 1	% 39 4	# 2 1	%
	3 4 5	18 23 21	Scale Score 1432 1419 1526	# 4 13 8	% 22 57 38	# 14 10 13	% 78 43 62	# 7 1 8	% 39 4 38	# 2 1 3	% 11 4 14
	3 4 5 6	18 23 21 31	Scale Score 1432 1419 1526 1508	# 4 13 8 19	% 22 57 38 61	# 14 10 13 12	% 78 43 62 39	# 7 1 8 4	% 39 4 38 13	# 2 1 3 2	% 11 4 14 6 6
Latino	3 4 5 6 7	18 23 21 31 17	Scale Score 1432 1419 1526 1508 1589	# 4 13 8 19 8	% 22 57 38 61 47	# 14 10 13 12 9	% 78 43 62 39 53	# 7 1 8 4 6	% 39 4 38 13 35	# 2 1 3 2 1	% 11 4 14 6 6
	3 4 5 6 7 8	18 23 21 31 17 18	Scale Score 1432 1419 1526 1508 1589 1682	# 4 13 8 19 8 4	% 22 57 38 61 47 22	# 14 10 13 12 9 14	% 78 43 62 39 53 78	# 7 1 8 4 6 9	% 39 4 38 13 35 50	# 2 1 3 2 1 4	% 11 4 14 6 6 6 22

	7	30	1644	6	20	24	80	11	37	4	13
	8	16	1726	3	19	13	81	10	63	6	38
	3	0									
-	4	0									
- American Indian	5	0									
or Alaska Native	6	0									
-	7	0									
-	8	1									
	3	0									
-	4	0									
- American Indian	5	0									
or Alaska Native	6	0									
-	7	0									
-	8	0									
-	3	0									
American Indian	5	0									
or Alaska Native	6	0									
-	7	0									
	8	0									
				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	M	eets	Mas	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Black or African - American	3	14	1354	5	36	9	64	1	7	0	0
-	4	7	1451	3	43	4	57	2	29	1	14
-	5	8	1465	5	63	3	38	1	13	1	13

	6	10	1524	6	60	4	40	3	30	0	0
	7	6	1615	2	33	4	67	2	33	1	17
	8	8	1543	7	88	1	13	1	13	1	13
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		paches		eets		ters
-	2	6	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Black or African	3	6 4	1427	1	17	5	83	2	33	1	17
American	5	11	1503	5	45	6	55	3	27	2	18
-	6	8	1494	4	50	4	50	0	0	0	0
=	7	7	1502	5	71	2	29	0	0	0	0
-	8	9	1660	2	22	7	78	4	44	1	11
	3	10	1382	3	30	7	70	2	20	1	10
-	5	4									
Black or African American	6	11	1500	6	55	5	45	2	18	0	0
	7	6	1613	2	33	4	67	3	50	1	17
-	8	8	1608	2	25	6	75	0	0	0	0
				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score		ot Meet		oaches %	Me #	eets %		ters ø⁄
-	3	14		# 2	%	12	86	# 5	36	#	% 14
White _	3	14 20	1453 1571	4	14 20	12 16	80	11	55	2 6	30
-	5	20	1571	5	20	16	76	11	52	5	24
-	6	21	1579	6	24	22	78	7	25	4	14

	7	15	1652	4	27	11	73	8	53	5	33
	8	17	1687	4	24	13	76	8	47	7	41
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR I	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets		sters
		<u> </u>	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	3	17	1461	4	24	13	76	8	47	6	35
White	4	15	1569	1	7	14	93	11	73	7	47
	5	16	1521	5	31	11	69	6	38	3	19
	6	22	1613	5	23	17	77	9	41	5	23
	7	23	1665	7	30	16	70	12	52	8	35
	8	33	1696	5	15	28	85	18	55	7	21
	3	14	1466	4	29	10	71	7	50	6	43
	5	16	1684	2	13	14	88	14	88	8	50
White	6	17	1546	7	41	10	59	5	29	2	12
	7	24	1763	0	0	24	100	17	71	10	42
	8	25	1726	3	12	22	88	15	60	11	44
	3	0	!					[]			
	4	1									
Two or More	5	3									
Races	6	1									
	7	3									
	8	1									
	3	2									
Two or More Races	4	1	1								
Nucci .	5	0									

	6	1				 	 		 		<u> </u>
	7	3				 	 	ļ			_
	8	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
	3	3					 				
	5	0									
Two or More Races	6	0									
	7	0						!			
	8	2									
				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR I	Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro			leets	Mar	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	3	36	1392	8	22	28	78	7	19	4	11
Economically	4	42	1464	22	52	20	48	12	29	7	17
Disadvantaged	5	36	1507	14	39	22	61	11	31	4	11
	6	28	1556	12	43	16	57	9	32	2	7
	7	28	1617	10	36	18	64	10	36	6	21
	8	34	1635	12	35	22	65	11	32	6	18
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR I	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet	Appro			leets		sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	30	1426	7	23	23	77	10	33	6	20
	4	29	1438	16	55	13	45	5	17	3	10
	5	35	1478	18	51	17	49	9	26	3	9
	6	42	1505	25	60	17	40	4	10	2	5

	7	33	1584	16	48	17	52	10	30	5	15
	8	30	1662	7	23	23	77	13	43	4	13
	3	28	1383	10	36	18	64	6	21	3	11
-	5	26	1535	9	35	17	65	12	46	2	8
Economically Disadvantaged	6	32	1495	18	56	14	44	7	22	1	3
	7	38	1639	8	21	30	79	14	37	5	13
	8	32	1675	6	19	26	81	12	38	7	22
				2018-19 Rea	iding STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	М	eets	Mas	ters
-			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	3	12	1380	3	25	9	75	3	25	1	8
Limited English Proficient	4	7	1355	6	86	1	14	0	0	0	0
Proficient -	5	3									
-	6	5	1525	2	40	3	60	1	20	0	0
-	7	1									
	8	4									
				2020-21 Rea	iding STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	ters
-			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Limited English	3	10	1439	1	10	9	90	2	20	1	10
Proficient	4	11	1414	7	64	4	36	0	0	0	0
-	5	9	1561	2	22	7	78	4	44	1	11
-	6	8	1420	7	88	1	13	0	0	0	0
	7	3									

	8	5	1629	3	60	2	40	2	40	0	0
	3	5	1361	2	40	3	60	0	0	0	0
-	5	10	1492	5	50	5	50	2	20	0	0
Limited English Proficient	6	7	1490	3	43	4	57	1	14	0	0
	7	6	1576	1	17	5	83	0	0	0	0
-	8	2									
				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR	Results		·			
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	3	10	1379	3	30	7	70	2	20	1	10
Special Education _	4	8	1320	6	75	2	25	0	0	0	0
	5	12	1425	8	67	4	33	1	8	1	8
-	6	6	1443	4	67	2	33	0	0	0	0
	7	10	1478	9	90	1	10	0	0	0	0
	8	10	1501	8	80	2	20	0	0	0	0
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets		sters
-			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	3	2									1
Special Education _	4	7	1368	6	86	1	14	0	0	0	0
_	5	11	1376	9	82	2	18	2	18	0	0
_	6	7	1408	6	86	1	14	1	14	0	0
_	7	12	1479	9	75	3	25	1	8	1	8
	8	6	1604	3	50	3	50	1	17	0	0

											1
	3	5	1305	4	80	1	20	0	0	0	0
	5	7	1458	4	57	3	43	1	14	1	14
Special Education	6	14	1429	11	79	3	21	1	7	0	0
	7	8	1527	5	63	3	38	1	13	0	0
	8	9	1513	6	67	3	33	0	0	0	0

Writing

*2021-22 Writing STAAR Results Not Reported.

				2017-18	Writing STAA	R Results					
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	4	49	3495	28	57	21	43	10	20	0	0
All Students	7	53	3858	12	23	41	77	24	45	5	9
Hispanic/	4	15	3394	8	53	7	47	2	13	0	0
Latino	7	27	3841	6	22	21	78	11	41	2	7
American Indian or	4	0									
Alaska Native	7	1									
Black or African	4	8	3289	7	88	1	13	0	0	0	0
American	7	6	3426	4	67	2	33	1	17	0	0
	4	23	3569	13	57	10	43	7	30	0	0
White	7	18	4036	2	11	16	89	12	67	3	17
T	4	2									
Two or More Races	7	1									
Economically	4	34	3420	19	56	15	44	5	15	0	0
Disadvantaged	7	35	3791	9	26	26	74	13	37	3	9
Limited English	4	2									
Proficient	7	4									
Special Education	4	5	2897	5	100	0	0	0	0	0	0

7	5	3167	4	80	1	20	0	0	0	0

		r		2018-19	Writing STA	AR Results		1		1	
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Ma	isters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	4	61	3620	25	41	36	59	16	26	6	10
All Students	7	43	3841	14	33	29	67	18	42	7	16
Hispanic/	4	33	3468	16	48	17	52	7	21	1	3
Latino	7	18	3716	5	28	13	72	6	33	0	0
American Indian or	4	0									
Alaska Native	7	0									
Black or African	4	7	3499	4	57	3	43	2	29	0	0
American	7	6	3712	3	50	3	50	2	33	1	17
	4	20	3903	5	25	15	75	7	35	5	25
White	7	15	4062	4	27	11	73	8	53	5	33
T	4	1									
Two or More Races	7	3									
Economically	4	42	3472	21	50	21	50	8	19	1	2
Disadvantaged	7	28	3877	8	29	20	71	12	43	5	18
Limited English	4	7	3054	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proficient	7	1									
	4	8	3023	7	88	1	13	0	0	0	0
Special Education	7	10	3178	8	80	2	20	0	0	0	0

				2020-21	Writing STAA	R Results					
Student Group					t Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	ets	Mas	ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	4	43	3540	22	51	21	49	12	28	3	7
All Students	7	51	3608	23	45	28	55	10	20	2	4

Hispanic/	4	23	3207	17	74	6	26	0	0	0	0
Latino	7	17	3538	8	47	9	53	4	24	0	0
American Indian or	4	0									
Alaska Native	7	0									
Black or African	4	4									
American	7	7	3397	5	71	2	29	0	0	0	0
	4	15	4045	3	20	12	80	9	60	3	20
White	7	23	3685	9	39	14	61	4	17	2	9
T	4	1									
Two or More Races	7	3									
Economically	4	29	3314	18	62	11	38	5	17	0	0
Disadvantaged	7	33	3551	16	48	17	52	5	15	1	3
Limited English	4	11	3132	10	91	1	9	0	0	0	0
Proficient	7	3									
	4	7	2929	6	86	1	14	0	0	0	0
Special Education	7	12	3150	11	92	1	8	0	0	0	0

English I

			2018-19	English I STA	AR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	67	4051	17	25	50	75	36	54	7	10
Hispanic/ Latino	22	3987	3	14	19	86	10	45	0	0
Black or African American	14	3574	10	71	4	29	1	7	0	0
White	28	4320	4	14	24	86	22	79	7	25
Economically Disadvantaged	29	3790	11	38	18	62	8	28	0	0
Special Education	6	3552	3	50	3	50	1	17	0	0

			2020-21	English I STA	AR Results		1		L	
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	ets	Mas	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	56	4073	16	29	40	71	29	52	6	11
Hispanic/ Latino	19	3997	5	26	14	74	9	47	0	0
Black or African American	9	3827	5	56	4	44	2	22	1	11
White	23	4332	3	13	20	87	17	74	5	22
Economically Disadvantaged	36	3981	12	33	24	67	16	44	4	11
Special Education	8	3501	7	88	1	13	1	13	0	0

			2021-22	English I STAA	AR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Not	t Meet	Appro	aches	Me	eets	Mas	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	77	4041	21	27	56	73	45	58	8	10
Hispanic/ Latino	23	3877	10	43	13	57	11	48	2	9
Black or African American	14	3795	8	57	6	43	5	36	0	0
White	39	4226	3	8	36	92	28	72	6	15
Economically Disadvantaged	38	3856	16	42	22	58	17	45	1	3
Limited English Proficient	8	3429	6	75	2	25	2	25	0	0
Special Education	5	3706	3	60	2	40	1	20	0	0

English II

			2018-19	English II STA	AR Results		_		_	
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	55	4046	18	33	37	67	27	49	5	9
Hispanic/ Latino	21	3906	7	33	14	67	8	38	1	5
Black or African American	6	3522	5	83	1	17	1	17	0	0
White	27	4264	6	22	21	78	17	63	4	15
Economically Disadvantaged	19	3944	9	47	10	53	6	32	2	11
Special Education	6	3249	6	100	0	0	0	0	0	0

			2020-21	English II STA	AR Results				-	
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Me	eets	Mas	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	61	4005	18	30	43	70	34	56	2	3
Hispanic/ Latino	27	3958	10	37	17	63	13	48	0	0
Black or African American	9	3562	6	67	3	33	2	22	1	11
White	23	4211	2	9	21	91	17	74	1	4
Economically Disadvantaged	39	3968	14	36	25	64	20	51	1	3
Limited English Proficient	5	3532	5	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Education	10	3408	8	80	2	20	2	20	0	0

			2021-22 English II STA	AR Results		
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters

			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	48	4120	11	23	37	77	31	65	3	6
Hispanic/ Latino	18	3968	6	33	12	67	9	50	0	0
Black or African American	8	3962	2	25	6	75	5	63	0	0
White	20	4309	3	15	17	85	15	75	3	15
Economically Disadvantaged	25	4073	7	28	18	72	15	60	2	8
Special Education	5	3412	4	80	1	20	0	0	0	0

Mathematics

Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	t Meet %	Appro	aches %	Me #	eets %	Mas #	ters %
	3	51	1465	# 10	20	41	80	20	39	# 5	10
	4	61	1405	10	30	41	70	20	35	13	21
All Students	5	50	1540	10	20	43	80	22	46	13	21
	6	59	1655	11	19	48	81	28	47	15	25
	7	43	1619	17	40	26	60	16	37	4	9
	8	41	1637	14	34	27	66	14	34	2	5
Student Group			2	020-21 Math	ematics STAA	AR Results					
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	rt Meet %	Appro	aches %	Me	eets %	Mas #	ters %
All Students	3	43	1485	3	7	# 40	93	18	42	*	19
	4	43	1485	10	23	33	77	21	42	8 10	23

	5	49	1653	7	14	42	86	31	63	17	35
	6	62	1633	18	29	44	71	25	40	15	24
	7	51	1600	28	55	23	45	9	18	4	8
	8	42	1664	8	19	34	81	17	40	2	5
	3	40	1402	14	35	26	65	13	33	3	8
	5	41	1645	5	12	36	88	22	54	10	24
All Students	6	48	1625	12	25	36	75	18	38	7	15
	7	62	1649	21	34	41	66	22	35	9	15
	8	40	1640	13	33	27	68	12	30	0	0
			2	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Me	eets	Mas	ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	3	23	1454	6	26	17	74	9	39	2	9
Hispanic/	4	33	1535	9	27	24	73	7	21	6	18
Latino	5	17	1554	5	29	12	71	6	35	2	12
	6	20	1677	4	20	16	80	11	55	6	30
	7	18	1570	9	50	9	50	4	22	0	0
	8	22	1679	7	32	15	68	10	45	2	9
			2	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Hispanic/	3	18	1477	1	6	17	94	7	39	2	11
Latino	4	23	1509	7	30	16	70	7	30	1	4
	5	21	1655	1	5	20	95	14	67	7	33
	6	31	1611	9	29	22	71	9	29	6	19

	7	17	1603	9	53	8	47	3	18	1	6
	8	14	1670	3	21	11	79	6	43	2	14
	3	12	1339	6	50	6	50	1	8	1	8
	5	21	1586	3	14	18	86	7	33	2	10
Hispanic/ Latino	6	19	1610	5	26	14	74	6	32	2	11
	7	31	1620	13	42	18	58	8	26	3	10
	8	13	1648	4	31	9	69	5	38	0	0
	3	0									
	4	0									
American Indian	5	0									
or Alaska Native	6	0									
	7	0									
	8	1									
	3	0									
	4	0									
American Indian	5	0									
or Alaska Native	6	0									
	7	0									
	8	0									
	3	0									
	5	0									+
American Indian	6	0									+
or Alaska Native	7	0									+
	8	0									1
			2	018-19 Math	ematics STAA	R Results	I		I	I	1
Student Group											

	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	м	eets	Ma	sters
		# Students Tested	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
_	3	14	1432	2	14	12	86	4	29	0	0
lack or African	4	7	1499	3	43	4	57	2	29	2	29
American	5	8	1538	2	25	6	75	1	13	0	0
_	6	10	1586	3	30	7	70	3	30	0	0
_	7	6	1584	3	50	3	50	2	33	0	0
	8	8	1517	4	50	4	50	0	0	0	0
			2	020-21 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
Student Group		1		1		1				1	
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets		sters
-			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	3	6	1439	0	0	6	100	1	17	0	0
lack or African American	4	4									
-	5	11	1590	3	27	8	73	5	45	2	18
-	6	8	1550	5	63	3	38	2	25	1	13
-	7	7	1535	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
	8	8	1639	3	38	5	63	2	25	0	0
	3	10	1366	4	40	6	60	2	20	0	0
	5	4									
lack or African	6	11	1598	4	36	7	64	4	36	1	9
	7	7	1650	3	43	4	57	3	43	2	29
	8	8	1591	5	63	3	38	1	13	0	0
			2	018-19 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											

	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Approaches		Meets		Masters	
		# Students rester	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	3	14	1517	2	14	12	86	7	50	3	21
White	4	20	1579	6	30	14	70	12	60	5	25
	5	21	1650	3	14	18	86	14	67	7	33
	6	28	1666	4	14	24	86	14	50	9	32
	7	15	1679	4	27	11	73	9	60	3	20
	8	9	1652	2	22	7	78	4	44	0	0
Student Group			2	020-21 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	ot Meet %	Appro #	oaches %	Me #	eets %	Mas #	sters %
	3	17	1518	2	12	15	88	10	59	6	35
White	4	15	1660	1	7	14	93	11	73	8	53
vvince -	5	16	1708	2	13	14	88	12	75	8	50
	6	22	1694	4	18	18	82	14	64	8	36
	7	23	1623	10	43	13	57	6	26	3	13
	8	19	1673	2	11	17	89	9	47	0	0
	3	14	1479	3	21	11	79	9	64	2	14
	5	16	1732	1	6	15	94	12	75	8	50
White	6	17	1665	2	12	15	88	8	47	4	24
	7	24	1687	5	21	19	79	11	46	4	17
	8	16	1647	3	19	13	81	4	25	0	0
	3	0									
Two or More	4	1									
Races	5	3									
	6	1						í			

	7	3									
	8	1									
	3	2									
Two or More	4	1									
	5	0									
Races	6	1									
	7	3									
	8	1									
	3	3									
-	5	0									
Two or More	6	0									
Races _	7	0									
	8	2	2	018-19 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group	8	2	2	018-19 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group			Average		ematics STAA	R Results Appro	paches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
Student Group	8 Grade Level	2 # Students Tested					paches %	Ma	eets %	Ma #	sters %
Student Group			Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro					
- Economically	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No #	nt Meet %	Appro	%	#	%	#	%
-	Grade Level	# Students Tested 36	Average Scale Score 1454	Did No # 6	nt Meet % 17	Appro # 30	% 83	# 14	% 39	#	% 6
- Economically	Grade Level 3 4	# Students Tested 36 42	Average Scale Score 1454 1518	Did No # 6 13	t Meet % 17 31	Appro # 30 29	% 83 69	# 14 10	% 39 24	# 2 6	% 6 14
- Economically	Grade Level 3 4 5	# Students Tested 36 42 36	Average Scale Score 1454 1518 1593	Did No # 6 13 7	t Meet % 17 31 19	Appro # 30 29 29	% 83 69 81	# 14 10 16	% 39 24 44	# 2 6 7	% 6 14 19
- Economically	Grade Level 3 4 5 6	# Students Tested 36 42 36 28	Average Scale Score 1454 1518 1593 1628	Did No # 6 13 7 6	* Meet % 17 31 19 21	Appro # 30 29 29 29 22	% 83 69 81 79	# 14 10 16 11	% 39 24 44 39	# 2 6 7 3	% 6 14 19 11
- Economically	Grade Level 3 4 5 6 7	# Students Tested 36 42 36 28 28	Average Scale Score 1454 1518 1593 1628 1611 1612	Did No # 6 13 7 6 11	t Meet % 17 31 19 21 39 45	Appro # 30 29 29 22 22 17 16	% 83 69 81 79 61	# 14 10 16 11 9	% 39 24 44 39 32	# 2 6 7 3 2	% 6 14 19 11 7

	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Approaches		Meets		Masters	
	Gidde Level	# Students Tested	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Economically	3	30	1474	3	10	27	90	11	37	3	10
	4	29	1530	8	28	21	72	10	34	4	14
Disadvantaged	5	35	1617	6	17	29	83	18	51	8	23
	6	42	1598	15	36	27	64	11	26	7	17
	7	33	1586	20	61	13	39	6	18	1	3
	8	26	1662	4	15	22	85	10	38	1	4
	3	28	1366	12	43	16	57	5	18	1	4
-	5	26	1616	3	12	23	88	12	46	3	12
Economically Disadvantaged	6	32	1593	10	31	22	69	9	28	2	6
	7	40	1617	17	43	23	58	9	23	4	10
	8	29	1644	10	34	19	66	10	34	0	0
Student Group				1							
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	M	eets	Ma	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	ot Meet %	Appro	oaches %	М(#	eets %	Ma: #	sters %
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale								
			Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
imited English Proficient	3	12	Scale Score 1437	# 4	% 33	#	% 67	#	% 25	#	%
imited English Proficient	3	12 7	Scale Score 1437	# 4	% 33	#	% 67	#	% 25	#	%
	3 4 5	12 7 3	Scale Score 1437 1490	# 4 3	% 33 43	# 8 4	% 67 57	# 3 0	% 25 0	# 1 0	% 8 0
	3 4 5 6	12 7 3 5	Scale Score 1437 1490	# 4 3	% 33 43	# 8 4	% 67 57	# 3 0	% 25 0	# 1 0	% 8 0
	3 4 5 6 7	12 7 3 5 1	Scale Score 1437 1490 1600	# 4 3	% 33 43 40 40	# 8 4 3	% 67 57	# 3 0	% 25 0	# 1 0	% 8 0

	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Approaches		Meets		Masters	
		# Students Tested	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Limited English Proficient	3	10	1510	0	0	10	100	5	50	2	20
	4	11	1525	2	18	9	82	3	27	0	0
	5	9	1661	0	0	9	100	6	67	3	33
-	6	8	1519	4	50	4	50	0	0	0	0
-	7	3									
	8	5	1601	3	60	2	40	1	20	0	0
	3	5	1349	2	40	3	60	0	0	0	0
-	5	10	1599	0	0	10	100	4	40	0	0
Limited English Proficient	6	7	1523	4	57	3	43	1	14	0	0
	7	7	1547	4	57	3	43	0	0	0	0
	8	2									
Student Group			Average	018-19 Math	ot Meet		oaches	M	eets	Ma	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	3	10	1525	2	20	8	80	5	50	3	30
- - pecial Education	4	8	1414	8	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	12	1480	7	58	5	42	1	8	0	0
-	6	6	1499	4	67	2	33	1	17	0	0
-	7	10	1531	7	70	3	30	0	0	0	0
_	8	10	1511	8	80	2	20	1	10	0	0
-	0										
			2	020-21 Math	ematics STAA	AN NESUILS					

				1							
	Grade Level	Grade Level # Students Tested		Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	3	2									
Special Education	4	7	1477	4	57	3	43	1	14	1	14
_	5	11	1580	4	36	7	64	4	36	2	18
	6	7	1477	6	86	1	14	1	14	0	0
	7	12	1541	11	92	1	8	1	8	0	0
	8	6	1605	3	50	3	50	2	33	0	0
	3	5	1255	4	80	1	20	0	0	0	0
	5	7	1573	2	29	5	71	3	43	0	0
Special Education	6	14	1573	8	57	6	43	4	29	3	21
	7	8	1518	7	88	1	13	0	0	0	0
	8	9	1542	7	78	2	22	0	0	0	0

Algebra I

2018-19 Algebra I STAAR Results												
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters			
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
All Students	60	4012	13	22	47	78	28	47	18	30		
Hispanic/ Latino	22	4041	4	18	18	82	12	55	7	32		
Black or African American	13	3568	6	46	7	54	1	8	1	8		
White	23	4216	3	13	20	87	14	61	9	39		
Economically Disadvantaged	31	3888	8	26	23	74	12	39	7	23		
Special Education	5	3386	3	60	2	40	0	0	0	0		

			2020-21	Algebra I STA	AR Results		T			
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Nc	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	54	4051	9	17	45	83	29	54	18	33
Hispanic/ Latino	22	3968	4	18	18	82	10	45	6	27
Black or African American	6	3789	2	33	4	67	2	33	1	17
White	23	4282	0	0	23	100	17	74	11	48
Economically Disadvantaged	29	3857	8	28	21	72	9	31	6	21
Special Education	8	3452	4	50	4	50	0	0	0	0
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Nc	ot Meet	Appro	Jaches	Με	eets	Ma	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	62	3953	16	26	46	74	30	48	16	26
Hispanic/ Latino	21	3845	9	43	12	57	9	43	4	19
Black or African American	9	3659	3	33	6	67	1	11	1	11
White	31	4116	4	13	27	87	20	65	11	35
Economically Disadvantaged	32	3791	10	31	22	69	12	38	5	16
Limited English	8	3438	6	75	2	25	1	13	0	0
Proficient				L	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	

Science

*2019-20 STAAR Data N/A Due to COVID-19

2018-19 Science STAAR Results

Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	5	51	3723	22	43	29	57	15	29	7	14
All Students	8	52	3632	24	46	28	54	15	29	2	4
Hispanic/	5	18	3469	12	67	6	33	2	11	1	6
Latino	8	25	3650	11	44	14	56	5	20	0	0
American Indian or	5	0									
Alaska Native	8	1									
Black or African	5	8	3403	6	75	2	25	1	13	0	0
American	8	8	3123	7	88	1	13	0	0	0	0
\A/b :+ -	5	21	4022	4	19	17	81	10	48	6	29
White	8	17	3838	5	29	12	71	9	53	2	12
Two or More Decos	5	3									
Two or More Races	8	1									
Economically	5	35	3655	17	49	18	51	8	23	3	9
Disadvantaged	8	34	3512	20	59	14	41	4	12	0	0
Limited English	5	3									
Proficient	8	4									
	5	12	3325	10	83	2	17	1	8	1	8
Special Education	8	9	3274	8	89	1	11	0	0	0	0

	2020-21 Science STAAR Results													
Student Group	Student Group Grade Level	evel # Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters			
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
	5	49	3688	18	37	31	63	13	27	6	12			
All Students	8	60	3864	18	30	42	70	26	43	10	17			
Hispanic/	5	21	3686	8	38	13	62	5	24	2	10			
Latino	8	17	3899	6	35	11	65	9	53	4	24			
American Indian or	5	0												
Alaska Native	8	0												

	!	11	3441	7	64	1	36	<u> </u>	18	0	0
Black or African American	5					4		2		0	
American	8	9	3638	4	44	5	56	3	33	1	11
White	5	16	3911	2	13	14	88	6	38	4	25
	8	33	3908	8	24	25	76	14	42	5	15
Two or More Races	5	0		+							
	8	1		<u> </u>					_		
Economically	5	35	3561	17	49	18	51	6	17	2	6
Disadvantaged	8	29	3751	13	45	16	55	11	38	4	14
Limited English	5	9	3750	3	33	6	67	3	33	2	22
Proficient	8	5	3602	3	60	2	40	1	20	1	20
Special Education	5	11	3445	7	64	4	36	2	18	2	18
	8	6	3443	3	50	3	50	1	17	1	17
		rr		2021-22	Science STAA	R Results		1		1	
			A				h				-•
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Dia No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	1716	eets	lvia	sters
•											
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	5	41	Score 3821	# 14	% 34	# 27	% 66	# 17	% 41	#	% 17
All Students	5	41 53									
All Students			3821	14	34	27	66	17	41	7	17
	8	53	3821 3958	14 8	34 15	27 45	66 85	17 21	41 40	7	17 17
All Students Hispanic/ Latino	8	53 21	3821 3958 3567	14 8 11	34 15 52	27 45 10	66 85 48	17 21 4	41 40 19	7 9 1	17 17 5
All Students	8 5 8	53 21 17	3821 3958 3567	14 8 11	34 15 52	27 45 10	66 85 48	17 21 4	41 40 19	7 9 1	17 17 5
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native	8 5 8 5	53 21 17 0	3821 3958 3567	14 8 11	34 15 52	27 45 10	66 85 48	17 21 4	41 40 19	7 9 1	17 17 5
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or	8 5 8 5 8	53 21 17 0 0	3821 3958 3567	14 8 11	34 15 52	27 45 10	66 85 48	17 21 4	41 40 19	7 9 1	17 17 5
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American	8 5 8 5 8 5 5	53 21 17 0 0 4	3821 3958 3567 3895	14 8 11 2	34 15 52 12	27 45 10 15	66 85 48 88	17 21 4 6	41 40 19 35	7 9 1 2	17 17 5 12
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African	8 5 8 5 8 5 5 8	53 21 17 0 0 4 8	3821 3958 3567 3895 3501	14 8 11 2 4	34 15 52 12 50	27 45 10 15 4	66 85 48 88 50	17 21 4 6	41 40 19 35 0	7 9 1 2 0	17 17 5 12 0
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American White -	8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 5	53 21 17 0 0 4 8 16	3821 3958 3567 3895 3501 4154	14 8 11 2 4 1	34 15 52 12 50 6	27 45 10 15 4 4 15	66 85 48 88 50 94	17 21 4 6 0 11	41 40 19 35 0 69	7 9 1 2 	17 17 5 12 0 31
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American	8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 8	53 21 17 0 0 4 8 16 25	3821 3958 3567 3895 3501 4154	14 8 11 2 4 1	34 15 52 12 50 6	27 45 10 15 4 4 15	66 85 48 88 50 94	17 21 4 6 0 11	41 40 19 35 0 69	7 9 1 2 	17 17 5 12 0 31
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American White Two or More Races	8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 8	53 21 17 0 0 4 8 16 25 0	3821 3958 3567 3895 3501 4154	14 8 11 2 4 1	34 15 52 12 50 6	27 45 10 15 4 4 15	66 85 48 88 50 94	17 21 4 6 0 11	41 40 19 35 0 69	7 9 1 2 	17 17 5 12 0 31
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American White -	8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 5	53 21 17 0 0 4 8 16 25 0 2 2 26	3821 3958 3567 3895 3501 4154 4146 3757	14 8 11 2 4 1 2 2 10	34 15 52 12 50 6 8 38	27 45 10 15 4 15 23 16	66 85 48 88 50 94 92 62	17 21 4 6 0 11 14 10	41 40 19 35 0 69 56 38	7 9 1 2 0 5 7	17 17 5 12 0 31 28 12
All Students Hispanic/ Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American White Two or More Races Economically	8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 8	53 21 17 0 0 4 8 16 25 0 2	3821 3958 3567 3895 3501 4154 4146	14 8 11 2 4 1 2	34 15 52 12 50 6 8	27 45 10 15 4 15 23	66 85 48 88 50 94 92	17 21 4 6 0 11 14	41 40 19 35 0 69 56	7 9 1 2 	17 17 5 12 0 31 28

	5	7	3608	3	43	4	57	2	29	0	0
Special Education	8	10	3440	4	40	6	60	0	0	0	0
2019-20 STAAR Da	nta N/A Due	to COVID-19			Biology						
				2018-19	Biology STAA	R Results					
Student Group	# Students	s Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Me	ets	Mas	ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	63	3	4277	6	10	57	90	46	73	20	32
Hispanic/ Latino	28	3	4056	5	18	23	82	16	57	4	14
White	28	3	4439	0	0	28	100	24	86	11	39
Economically Disadvantaged	17	,	4008	4	24	13	76	8	47	2	12
Special Education	5		3539	3	60	2	40	0	0	0	0
				2020-21	Biology STAA	R Results				1	
Student Group	# Students	s Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	ets	Mas	ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	58	3	4431	3	5	55	95	42	72	21	36
Hispanic/ Latino	18	3	4356	0	0	18	100	13	72	5	28
Black or African	11	L	3998	2	18	9	82	5	45	1	9

2021-22 Biology STAAR Results

American

White

Economically

Disadvantaged

Special Education

			1		1		1			
Student Group	# Students Tested	# Students Tested Scale Did Not Mee		it Meet	Approaches		Meets		Masters	
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	71	4390	4	6	67	94	56	79	32	45
Hispanic/ Latino	24	4271	2	8	22	92	16	67	10	42
Black or African American	10	4016	1	10	9	90	5	50	2	20
White	35	4606	0	0	35	100	34	97	20	57
Economically Disadvantaged	32	4316	2	6	30	94	23	72	11	34
Special Education	7	3880	1	14	6	86	2	29	1	14

Social Studies

*2019-20 STAAR Data N/A Due to COVID-19

				2018-19 So	cial Studies ST	AAR Results		1			
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	paches	Me	ets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	8	52	3585	23	44	29	56	10	19	1	2
Hispanic/ Latino	8	25	3611	10	40	15	60	4	16	1	4
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	1									
Black or African American	8	8	3232	7	88	1	13	1	13	0	0
White	8	17	3735	5	29	12	71	5	29	0	0
Two or More Races	8	1									
Economically Disadvantaged	8	34	3485	18	53	16	47	3	9	0	0
Limited English Proficient	8	4									
Special Education	8	9	3300	8	89	1	11	1	11	0	0

				2020-21 So	cial Studies S	FAAR Results					
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	8	61	3663	23	38	38	62	18	30	2	3
Hispanic/ Latino	8	18	3614	7	39	11	61	5	28	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0									
Black or African American	8	9	3463	5	56	4	44	2	22	0	0
White	8	33	3728	11	33	22	67	10	30	2	6
Two or More Races	8	1									
Economically Disadvantaged	8	30	3564	15	50	15	50	8	27	0	0
Limited English Proficient	8	5	3427	3	60	2	40	1	20	0	0
Special Education	8	6	3378	3	50	3	50	0	0	0	0

				2021-22 So	cial Studies S	TAAR Results		1		1	
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	paches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	8	53	3677	22	42	31	58	14	26	9	17
Hispanic/ Latino	8	17	3581	8	47	9	53	2	12	2	12
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0									
Black or African American	8	8	3383	5	63	3	38	0	0	0	0
White	8	25	3818	8	32	17	68	11	44	6	24
Two or More Races	8	2									
Economically	8	33	3600	15	45	18	55	5	15	4	12

Disadvantaged											
Limited English Proficient	8	3									
pecial Education	8	10	3200	7	70	3	30	0	0	0	0
I			ŀ		1		1	1		1	

U.S History

*2019-20 STAAR Data N/A Due to COVID-19

			2018-19 U	.S. History ST	AAR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	56	4269	6	11	50	89	41	73	27	48
Hispanic/ Latino	14	4228	2	14	12	86	10	71	7	50
Black or African American	9	3973	3	33	6	67	5	56	3	33
White	32	4357	1	3	31	97	25	78	16	50
Economically Disadvantaged	19	3980	6	32	13	68	10	53	5	26
Special Education	7	3325	6	86	1	14	0	0	0	0

			2020-21 U	.S. History STA	AAR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Not Meet		Apprc	oaches	Me	eets	Masters	
1		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	60	4308	4	7	56	93	42	70	28	47
Hispanic/ Latino	24	4341	0	0	24	100	18	75	11	46
Black or African American	11	3737	3	27	8	73	3	27	1	9
White	23	4537	1	4	22	96	19	83	15	65
Economically Disadvantaged	30	4115	4	13	26	87	16	53	11	37
Special Education	5	3950	1	20	4	80	2	40	2	40

2021-22 U.S. History STAAR Results

[r									
Student Group	# Students Tested		Did Not Meet		Appro	Approaches		Meets		Masters	
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
All Students	55	4180	4	7	51	93	35	64	16	29	
Hispanic/ Latino	21	4232	1	5	20	95	15	71	7	33	
Black or African American	10	3731	3	30	7	70	2	20	0	0	
White	23	4329	0	0	23	100	17	74	9	39	
Economically Disadvantaged	35	4113	4	11	31	89	21	60	9	26	
Special Education	6	3936	2	33	4	67	2	33	1	17	

Goals and Strategies

Goal 1: Highly Qualified Teachers and Staff

SISD will recruit and retain highly effective teachers, administrators, and staff.

Performance Objective 1:

SISD will offer competitive salaries, stipends, and benefits as compared to other local-area districts and similarly-sized districts across the state.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Compare salaries of local-area districts and similarly-sized districts across the state and develop a plan to provide annual salary increases to teachers and staff to remain competitive.

Critical Success Factor(s)	CSF 6			
	CSF 7			
Timeline				
August 2019-July 2020				
Person(s) Responsible/Moni	tor			
Superintendent; Business Mana	iger			
Strategy's Expected Result/I	mpact			
Increase the number of highly e	effective teachers			
Reviews				
Formative Summative				
Summative				
Resources				

Strategy/Activity 2

Explore and research various incentive programs for staff

Critical Success Factor(s)	CSF 6		
	CSF 7		
ſimeline			
August 2019-July 2020			
о ,			
Person(s) Responsible/Moni			
Superintendent; Business Mana	ager		
Strategy's Expected Result/I	mnact		
Increase the number of highly e			
Reviews			
ormative			
Summative			
Resources			

Goal 2: Highly Qualified Teachers and Staff #2

SISD will recruit recruit and retain highly effective teachers, administrators, and staff.

Performance Objective 1:

SISD will provide professional development, mentoring, and instructional coaching opportunities for new and struggling teachers/administrators in order to help all educators reach their maximum potential.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Improve student learning and achievement through staff professional development.

	CSF 1		
Critical Success Factor(s)			
Cilical Success Factor(s)	CSF 6		
	CSF 7		
Timeline			
August 2019-July 2020			
Person(s) Responsible/Moni	tor		
Administrators, Teachers			
Strategy's Expected Result/I	mpact		
Increase teacher effectiveness			
Reviews			
Formative			
Summative			
Resources			

Strategy/Activity 2

Staff development provided in	areas such as G/T, CTE, ESL, T-TESS, PEIMS, SPED, TEKS Resource, other curriculum and instruction, Eduphoria and other technology, etc.
Critical Success Factor(s)	CSF 7
Timeline	
August 2019-July 2020	
Person(s) Responsible/Mon	itor
Administrators; Teachers	
Strategy's Expected Result/I	Impact
Increase teacher effectiveness	
Reviews	
F	
Formative Summative	
D	
Resources	
Strategy/Activity 3	
	as needed for curriculum planning, and the development of teacher leaders, mentor teachers, and teachers as instructional leaders.
Critical Success Factor(s)	CSF 3
Cilical Success Factor(s)	CSF 7
Timeline	
Timeline August 2019-July 2020	
	itor
August 2019-July 2020	itor
August 2019-July 2020 Person(s) Responsible/Mon	

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Goal 3: Student Achievement

SISD will recruit and retain highly effective teachers, administrators, and staff.

Performance Objective 1:

SISD will provide professional development, mentoring, and instructional coaching opportunities for new and struggling teachers/administrators in order to help all educators reach their maximum potential.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

All new teachers/administrators will be assigned a mentor teacher/administrator upon employment at SISD. Mentors will be trained and time will be provided for effective mentoring activities to occur.

Timeline

August 2017-July 2018

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Campus Administrators

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increase teacher effectiveness

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Description

Local, State, and Federal Funds

Strategy/Activity 2

All teachers new to teaching and new to the district will participate in two days of district orientation and professional development prior to the start of the school year in order to learn about district policies, procedures and expectations and receive training on district programs and curriculum.

Timeline

August 2017 and August 2018

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Superintendent; Campus Administrators; Instructional Technologist

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increase teacher effectiveness

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Description

Local, State, and Federal Funds

Strategy/Activity 3

All teachers new to teaching will participate in one additional day of professional development prior to the start of the school year focusing on classroom management, student discipline, and student engagement.

Timeline August 2018 Person(s) Responsible/Monitor Superintendent; Campus Principals Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Increase teacher effectiveness Reviews District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Independent School District 52 of 68

Formative

Summative

Resources

Description

Local, State, and Federal Funds

Strategy/Activity 4

All staff members new to the district will participate in Capturing Kids Hearts or positive behavior interventions and supports training within the first two years of employment in the district.

Timeline Summer 2018 Person(s) Responsible/Monitor Campus Administrators Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Increase teacher effectiveness **Reviews** Formative Summative Resources Description Local, State, and Federal Funds Strategy/Activity 5 Struggling teachers/administrators will be assigned a mentor teacher/administrator and put on a teacher support/growth plan to provide targeted assistance and training to help him/her progress and achieve mastery of required knowledge and skills needed for success. Timeline August 2017-July 2018 Person(s) Responsible/Monitor District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Independent School District 53 of 68 1/4/23

Superintendent; Campus Administrators		
Church and a Francisco di Desculto (lassa est		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Increases teacher effectiveness		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Description	Local, State, and Federal Funds	
Strategy/Activity 6		
All teachers and principals will receive continu	uing training and support during the second year of implementation of T-TESS.	
Timeline		
August 2017-May 2018		
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor		
Superintendent; Campus Administrators		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Increase teacher effectiveness		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Description	Local, State, and Federal Funds	
Strategy/Activity 7		
	ntinuing training and support during the second year of implementation of T-PESS.	
District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Independ	lent School District 54 of 68	1/4/23

Timeline	
August 2017-July 2018	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Superintendent	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Increase administrator effectiveness	
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Description	Local, State, and Federal Funds

Goal 4: Facilities #1

SISD will provide safe, secure and inviting facilities that accommodate current needs and allow for future growth.

Performance Objective 1:

SISD will facilitate the work on the appproved 2016 Bond Projects to update campus facilities as identified and will continue to adjust and address district facility and transportation needs as prioritized in the long-term strategic plan.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Oversee and facilitate the 2016 Bond Projects for the demolition of the old elementary building and construction of new parking.

Timeline		
Ongoing		
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor		
Superintendent		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Description	2016 Bond; Owners Building Resource	
Strategy/Activity 2		
District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Indepen	ndent School District 56 of 68	1/4/23

Oversee and facilitate the 2016 Bond Project	s to update the intercom, bell, and phone syste	ems to ensure all buildings/facilities have effective communication capability.	
Timeline			
Ongoing			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
Superintendent			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
Description	2016 Bond; E-Rate; Owners Building Resource		
Strategy/Activity 3			
Oversee and facilitate the 2016 Bond Projects	s to design and build a new CTE building with ex	xpansion of the current AG shop.	
Timeline			
Ongoing			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
Superintendent			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Independ	ent School District	57 of 68	1/4/23

Description	2016 Bond; Owners Building Resource		
Strategy/Activity 4			
Evaluate transportation needs including both	h the yellow and white fleets and develop a plan	to address transportation needs for the district.	
Timeline			
August 2017-July 2018			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
Superintendent; Transportation Director			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
Description	Local, State, and Federal Funds		
Strategy/Activity 5			
	e compliance with state and federal guidelines an t, hazardous material control, vehicle inspections	d mandates regarding facility and transportation records and policies including but not limited , safety audits, fire safety, etc.	to
Timeline			
August 2017-July 2018			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
Superintendent; Maintenance and Transport	tation Director; Business Manager		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Reviews			
District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Indepen	dent School District	58 of 68	1/4/23

Formative Summative

Decourses

Resources

Description

Local, State, and Federal Funds; TASB

Strategy/Activity 6

Conduct a facilities study to identify and prioritize district facility needs for both deferred maintenance and future growth and expansion.

Timeline August 2017-July 2018 Person(s) Responsible/Monitor Superintendent; School Board Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Reviews Formative Summative Resources Description **Owners Building Resource Objective 2:** Provide evidence-based practices that address the needs of students for special programs and coordinated health services Evaluation Data Source(s): Summative Evaluation: Strategy/Activity 1 Provide students with access to counseling and mental/behavioral support programs and services: suicide prevention including a parental or guardian notification procedure, dropout reduction,

District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Independent School District

dyslexia treatment, violence prevention, conflict resolution, interventions on trauma/grief-informed care, sexual abuse, sex trafficking, sexual harassment, and dating violence.

Timeline

August 2020-May 2021

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principals and Counselors

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increase student achievement by providing a safe learning environment for all students

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Objective 3:

Implement a bullying policy to provide a safe learning environment for all students

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Provide staff development for staff on the prevention, identification, response and reporting of bullying as stated in the school board policy.

Timeline

August 2020-May 2021

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Independent School District

Principal and teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increase safe learning environment for all students, increase student attendance

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Objective 4:

Implement safe learning environment for all students through employment of peace officer, school resource officer, or security officer.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Provide safe learning environment through the support of district employed peace officer, school resource officer, or security officer.

Timeline

August 2020-May 2021

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

District leadership

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increase student attendance, reduce discipline rates

Reviews

Formative

District Improvement Plan for Schulenburg Independent School District

Summative

Resources

Objective 5:

To encourage students to stay in school and graduate

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Provide middle and high school students with support programs and services through the guidance counselor to promote graduation, college readiness, FAFSA completion, graduation plans, college entrance exams, college applications, career and workforce pathways, CTE programs and industry based certifications, and workforce readiness skills.

Timeline

August 2020-May 2021

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal, Guidance Counselor, Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increase CCRMS, reduce dropout rate, increase high school graduation rate, increase the number of students attaining industry based certifications

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Goal 5: Facilities #2

SISD will provide safe, secure, and inviting facilities that accommodate current needs and future growth.

Performance Objective 1:

SISD will make improvements and implement strategies to ensure the safety and security of district students and staff.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Continue to monitor cameras and implement safety protocols to address parking areas district wide and multiple access points at the secondary campus.

Timeline

August 2017-July 2018

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Superintendent; Secondary Principal; Technology Dept.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Description

TASB Risk Management Grant; Local Funds; Human

Strategy/Activity 2

Implement new Access Control Doors and Software program and utilize the Raptor System monitor and control access to school campuses and students.

Timeline

August 2017-July 2018

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Superintendent; Campus Secretaries; Technology Dept.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Reviews

Formative

Summative

Resources

Description

Local, State, and Federal Funds;

Strategy/Activity 3

With the new Access Control Doors and Software, SISD will continue to develop policies and implement procedures for building access and key control, including after-hours facility use by the public and re-keying of district facilities as needed.

Timeline

August 2017-July 2018

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Superintendent; Maintenance Director; Business Manager

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Description

Local, State, and Federal Funds; Human

Strategy/Activity 4

SISD will continue to utilize the CopSync Emergency Alert System and provide opportunities for emergency drills and practice in collaboration with local emergency personnel.

T the state	
Timeline August 2017-July 2018	
1.10gust 2017 July 2010	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Superintendent; Technology Dept.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Description	Local, State, and Federal Funds; CopSync Program; Partnership with local law enforcement and other emergency response teams; Agreements with local churches for use as emergency shelters
Strategy/Activity 5	
SISD will conduct an Emergency Manageme	nt Audit (required every three years) and implement changes as needed to address identified concerns.
Timeline	
May-August 2017	
Deveen(a) Decreasible (Menitor	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor Superintendent; Emergency Management T	eam
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Description	Local, State, and Federal Funds; Human

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

Allocations by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Balance

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type

Budget Reference

Amount

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

68 of 68

Budget Reference

Funding Source

Amount